UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

				Case No. 1:12-CR-185					
v. STARKE ALLEN HAWKINS						ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)			
STARK				(COMPAS	SSIONATE RE	LEASE)			
Ţ	Jpon motion l	by the defen	ıdant f	or a red	uction in	sentence un	der 18 U.S.C. §	3582(c)(1)(A),	
and after	considering	the applica	ıble fa	ctors p	rovided ii	n 18 U.S.C	. § 3553(a) and	d the applicable	
policy	statements	issued	by	the	United	States	Sentencing	Commission,	
IT IS O	RDERED the	at the motio	n is:						
	NTED								
	The defend	lant's previo	ously i	impose	d sentence	e of imprise	onment of		
is reduce	ed to	. If this sentence is less than the amount of time							
the defer	ndant already	served, the	sente	nce is r	educed to	a time serv	ed; or		
	Time serve	d.							
I	f the defenda	nt's sentenc	e is re	duced 1	to time se	rved:			
		defendant' appropriate The defend plan is esta for the de arrangeme appropriate	s resi e trave dant sl ablishe fendar nts are e trave	dence el arrangel be in all be in ed, appirent to tree made el arrangenediate	and/or es gements, a released a copriate travel. The e. If more gements a	tablishmen and to ensu s soon as a avel arrang are shall be than fourt and ensure the	t of a release re the defendar residence is ve ements are made no delay in een days are rededed.	rification of the plan, to make at's safe release. Prified, a release de, and it is safe ensuring travel needed to make safe release, the see why the stay	

There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place, this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause why the stay should be extended.
☐ The defendant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside upon
release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it was not
included in the motion for sentence reduction.
☐ Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term" of
☐ probation or ☐ supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved portion of
the original term of imprisonment).
☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to the "special term" of supervision; or
☐ The conditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:
☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.
☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as
follows:
☐ DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the United
States Attorney to file a response on or before, along with all Bureau of
Prisons records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.

DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits. (Doc. 135.)

FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)

Even assuming Defendant could establish that his medical conditions place him at an increased risk of severe illness if he contracts COVID-19, thus qualifying as a serious medical condition under U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13, app. note 1(a)(i), the factors of 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) weigh heavily against his release. Defendant was attributed with 535 images and three videos depicting child pornography, which included images showing "the penetration of pre-pubescent children with adult males, child bondage, and child bestiality." (Presentence Investigation Report, ¶ 5.) Investigation further revealed "very lewd" internet chats between Defendant and a fourteen-year-old girl. (Id. ¶ 6.) Thus, despite his apparent remorse, recognition of the seriousness of his offense, rehabilitation, and low PATTERN score, his release would not be justified in light of the nature and circumstances of his offense. Moreover, releasing Defendant over six years early would not reflect the seriousness of his offense, promote respect for the law, provide just punishment, and afford adequate deterrence. Finally, the Court cannot conclude with any amount of certainty that Defendant, who committed the instant offense in his late fifties, does not pose a danger to the community. See 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A).

□ DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE because the defendant has not exhausted all administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since receipt of the defendant's request by the warden of the defendant's facility.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 2",

J. RANDAL HALL, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUP

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA